

## H.R. 1065, Pregnant Workers Fairness Act

As ordered reported by the House Committee on Education and Labor on March 24, 2021

By Fiscal Year, Millions of Dollars	2021	2021-2026	2021-2031
Direct Spending (Outlays)	*	*	*
Revenues	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Increase or Decrease (-) in the Deficit	*	*	*
Spending Subject to Appropriation (Outlays)	*	<b>5</b>	not estimated
Statutory pay-as-you-go procedures apply?	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Mandate Effects</b>	
Increases on-budget deficits in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2032?	<b>No</b>	Contains intergovernmental mandate?	<b>Excluded from UMRA</b>
		Contains private-sector mandate?	<b>Excluded from UMRA</b>
* = between zero and \$500,000.			

H.R. 1065 would require all public-sector employers and any private-sector employers with more than 15 workers to make reasonable accommodations for the known limitations related to pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions of employees and job applicants.<sup>1</sup> The bill would not require employers to make any accommodation that would impose an undue hardship on business operations. Under the bill, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) would be required to issue regulations to implement the bill within two years of enactment.

Using information from the EEOC, CBO expects that for the first three years after the regulations are issued, the volume of claims related to pregnancy discrimination that EEOC receives would increase by about 20 percent (roughly an additional 500 claims) each year. (The EEOC expects that after three years, the number of pregnancy discrimination claims would return to prior levels as employers adjust to the new regulations.) To meet that initial workload, CBO estimates that the commission would need eight additional employees, at a

1. Current law provides protections to pregnant workers who are denied reasonable accommodations by their employers. However, the Supreme Court has ruled that a pregnant worker may bring a claim against an employer only if the petitioner can demonstrate that the employer has provided accommodations to workers with similar limitations who are not pregnant (*Young v. United Parcel Service, Inc.*, 575 U.S. 12, 1226 (2015), <https://go.usa.gov/xG4jx>, PDF, 230 KB). H.R. 1065 would allow pregnant workers to bring such claims without meeting that requirement.



cost of about \$5 million over the 2021-2026 period. Such spending would be subject to the availability of appropriated funds. For fiscal year 2021, the Congress appropriated \$404 million for all of the EEOC's operations.

Enacting the bill could affect direct spending by some agencies that are allowed to use fees, receipts from the sale of goods, and other collections to cover operating costs. CBO estimates that any net changes in direct spending by those agencies would be negligible because most of them can adjust amounts collected to reflect changes in operating costs.

CBO has not reviewed H.R. 1065 for intergovernmental or private-sector mandates. Section 4 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act excludes from the application of that act any legislative provisions that would establish or enforce statutory rights prohibiting discrimination. CBO has determined that the bill falls within that exclusion because it would extend protections against discrimination in the workplace based on sex to employees requesting reasonable accommodation for pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions.

The CBO staff contacts for this estimate are Lindsay Wylie (for federal costs) and Lilia Ledezma (for mandates). The estimate was reviewed by Leo Lex, Deputy Director of Budget Analysis.